

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE
9

10 PRADEEP LAKSHMANAN, and
11 JAYASHREE PRADEEP,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 GREGORY A. RICHARDSON, *et al.*

15 Defendants.
16


Case No. C17-1399RSL

ORDER GRANTING
MOTION TO DISMISS

17 This matter comes before the Court on defendants' unopposed "Motion to Dismiss
18 Plaintiffs' Complaint for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction." Dkt. # 9. On September 15, 2017,
19 plaintiffs filed a complaint and motion for a temporary restraining order based on the disputed
20 revocation of their visa petitions and other immigration-related authorizations. In the intervening
21 time, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) reopened and approved the disputed
22 petitions and authorizations. See Dkt. # 9-1. Defendants then filed the instant motion to dismiss
23 because USCIS's administrative actions rendered plaintiffs' complaint moot, Dkt. # 9, which
24 plaintiffs do not oppose, Dkt. # 10.

25 Accordingly, defendants' motion, Dkt. # 9, is GRANTED, plaintiffs' pending motion for
26 a temporary restraining order, Dkt. # 2, is DENIED, and the complaint is dismissed in its
27 entirety.
28

1 DATED this 7th day of November, 2017.
2
3

4 
5 Robert S. Lasnik
6 United States District Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28